FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT NAGPUR
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5996/2007 in FIRST APPEAL NO:678 /2006
(Santosh Nandlal Agrawal vs. Bhimrao Ramrao Thakur and 5 others)

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram appearances, Court's orders of directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judges Order

.....

Shri C.A. Joshi, Adv. for applicant

CORAM: Smt. Vasanti A. Naik, J.
DATED: 27th AUGUST, 2007.

***

Heard Shri C.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant.

It is submitted on behalf of applicant that Respondents 1 and 2 could not be served in spite of best efforts of the appellant. It is then submitted that the Bailiff Report shows that Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are not available on the addresses shown in the appeal memo. Learned counsel further submits that the addresses of Respondents 1 and 2 on the appeal memo are the same as they existed in the trial Court. It is also submitted that new addresses of Respondents 1 and 2 could not be traced in spite of serious efforts.

For the aforesaid reasons, the prayer made in this Civil Application needs to be granted. Civil Application is allowed. The applicant is permitted to serve Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 by publishing a notice in the daily newspaper "Loksatta" which is widely circulated in Raipur and Nagpur where Respondents 1 and 2 were known to have lastly resided. Necessary steps to serve notice by paper publication be taken within three weeks from today. Civil application is allowed on the aforesaid terms and disposed of.

JUDGE

sahare